

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,914	06/01/2001	Ferdinand Schermel		4663
759	90 11/14/2003		EXAMINER	
Ferdinand Schermel RR# 10			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
Brampton, ON L6V 3N2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADÁ			3727	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003

30

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9
Advisory Action	09/872,914	SCHERMEL, FERDI	NAND
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			•
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7 and 9-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet	(c)(
TOLES OUTOIT DES COMMINGUION ONSEL		Stephen J. Castella Primary Examiner	no

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/872,914



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment further complicates the issues for appeal for at least the reason that the amendmen to claim 1, one page 40, line 5, wherein the article "a" added after the word "the" seems to create confusion as to whether the base perimeter limitation is being introduced or whether this limitation is being referred to after being introduced previously.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Aplicant's reqest for reconsideration and allowance is denied since all issues raised have been previously addressed in the prior Office actions of papers 28, 24, 11, 9 and 5.

Continuation of 10. Other: The time period continues to run from the 8/26/03 Office action..